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A central forehead area of 4 subjects was exposed to free-field, 10 cm microwave irradiation and the reaction time to onset of warmth sensation measured. Subjective awareness of warmth was found to be only a rough indication of personal hazard, based upon the currently accepted safety standard of 75 mw/cm² for 2 min.
1. INTRODUCTION:

Health hazards, resulting from the exposure of mankind to strong sources of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation, have been discussed.\(^1,2,3,4\) The harmful effects of excessive amounts of radiation either result from a general rise in total body temperature or are limited to selective temperature rises of sensitive parts of the body such as the eye. Present indications are that the effects of such radiation are caused solely by the heat resulting from the absorbed energy. It has been assumed, in the case of total body irradiation, that a fever corresponding to a temperature rise greater than \(1^\circ\text{C}\) is intolerable. Based on this concept a tolerance dosage of 10 milliwatts per square centimeter of total body absorption was recommended for the frequency range of interest to mankind. One of the purposes of this study is to investigate whether a person, in absence of knowledge of the value of power density, can realize the hazard through the sensation of warmth. Another reason is given by the desire to learn more about the mechanism responsible for heat sensation.

In this study, human subjects are irradiated with 10 cm electromagnetic waves to determine threshold sensations of warmth in terms of the incident power density. The subject, sitting in a shielded room, exposes only his forehead through an aperture 7 cm in diameter. As the microwave power is turned on and off, the subject
reports his sensations of warmth or no warmth by means of suitable
switches. The forehead area was chosen for exposure for the
following reasons:

1. It provides a relatively flat surface which could
   be exposed conveniently so that a uniform distribution of incident
   energy was assured.

2. It is one region of the body surface characterized
   by its remarkably stable temperature, in spite of appreciable changes
   in environmental temperature.

3. It has numerous temperature receptors which
   are evenly distributed over the area.

Calibrations of two types were conducted:

1. The distribution of microwave intensity in the
   aperture was determined experimentally. It was found that the
   power density at the edge of the aperture falls to about half of that
   at the center.

2. The temperature rise that would occur in the
   skin was determined experimentally using a skin simulant. The
   temperature rise was found to be directly proportional to the in-
   cident power density.
Theoretical calculations were performed in order to investigate the dependence of the threshold sensation of warmth on the thickness of the forehead skin. From calculated values for the coefficient of absorption of microwave energy and for heat developed per unit volume in the skin, it was observed that the threshold sensation of warmth does depend somewhat on the thickness of forehead skin. On the basis of the data obtained, it is expected that the threshold of sensation will vary by about ±10%.
2. EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP:

A special room was built to shield the seated human subject while allowing the radiation to strike only his forehead. The room, 3' wide, 4' deep and 6' high, is irradiated on one of the 3' x 6' walls. On this front wall, a microwave absorbing material (Eccosorb) absorbs all radiation not incident on a 20 cm circular aperture in the absorber (figure 1). Through the aperture can be seen a second, 7 cm aperture in a thinner absorbing material (Teledeltos paper with a surface conductance of 377 ohms). A copper sheet backs up the absorbing material to give positive protection to the subject; any microwave energy that passes through the absorbing materials is reflected from the copper sheet. Thus, the subject is completely shielded except for the 7 cm circular aperture. A spacing of one inch is provided between the copper and Eccosorb. Heat developed in the Eccosorb is carried out by air pumped through this space. The temperature inside the chamber is kept constant by means of an air conditioning system.
3. **CALIBRATIONS:**

(1) **Uniformity of field in aperture:**

In order to investigate the microwave field distribution in the aperture, a circular acrylic plastic chamber with a diameter of 7 cm was constructed. A plastic membrane about 0.05 mm thick was stretched across the open face of the chamber and held in place with an acrylic plastic lock-ring. The chamber was filled with water. A sponge was also placed in the chamber to retard the heat convection in the water. Twenty-one copper-constantan thermocouples were used to measure temperatures at the center and around two concentric circles in the chamber (figure 2). The outer circle, 5.8 cm in diameter, contains 12 thermocouples and the inner circle, 3 cm in diameter, 8 thermocouples. Twenty-one identical thermocouples were also arranged to measure the ambient air temperatures around the immediate vicinity of the chamber. The chamber was exposed to the microwave radiation. The temperature rise during the linear transient period was measured at the twenty-one locations inside the chamber. Figure 3 shows the relative temperature rise as a function of time at the center of the chamber. During the transient period of about eight minutes, the temperature increases linearly with time indicating that there is no loss of heat. The use of the sponge to retard heat convection and the restriction of temperature measurements to the
linear transient period insured that the temperature rise should be accurately proportional to the absorbed and incident power density. Figure 2 shows the relative values of the power density at different locations in the aperture. It can be seen that the power density is a maximum at the center of the aperture and falls to about half of that value near the boundary. The power density is nearly constant on a given concentric circle around the center of the aperture.

(2) Temperature rise in irradiated skin:

In order to study the temperature rise that would occur in the skin irradiated by the microwaves, the plastic chamber described above (figure 2) was used as a skin simulant. A liquid layer of about 1.5 cm is formed when the chamber is filled with water. Temperature measurements in the water were made by connecting the thermocouples in series. The output of the thermocouples in the water layer was bucked against that of the thermocouples in the ambient air around the immediate vicinity of the chamber. An independent heating system of accurately-measured resistance, constructed of constantan wire, was placed in the liquid to determine the heat dissipation constant of the simulant. All measurements were made with the simulant located behind the aperture in the shield, where the subject's forehead would be.

Distilled water was used to fill the skin simulant instead
of a solution having electrical properties identical to those of the skin (Table 1). The latter could be made up of a mixture of water, dioxane and KCl, but this solution would decompose the plastic. The effect of this substitution, having a dielectric constant of 78 and a conductivity of 16 mmhos/cm, rather than 42 and 20, is to lower the absorption coefficient. But, the change would be less than 10% as can be seen from Table 1.

The heat dissipation constant (D) of the skin simulant is defined as the increase in heating rate required to raise the steady-state temperature of the simulant by one degree.

\[ D = \frac{\Delta p}{\Delta T} \]

where \( \Delta p \) is change in heat rate and \( \Delta T \) is resulting change in steady-state temperature. The heat dissipation constant was determined by sending a known amount of electric current through the heating wire in the simulant until a steady-state thermal condition was established (Figure 4). It can be seen from Figure 4 that approximately two hours were required to realize steady-state thermal conditions. Figure 5 shows the relationship between the power input into the simulant and the resultant steady-state temperature in the simulant. The slope of the curve in Figure 5 represents the heat dissipation constant.

Analogous to this procedure, the simulant was irradiated with microwaves at a number of known power density levels. Once
again, the heating was continued until a steady-state temperature was established. Figure 6 shows the relationship between the incident microwave power density and the temperature rise in the simulant. Using the heat dissipation constant already found, the power absorbed by the simulant was readily calculated.

The coefficient of absorption is defined as the ratio of the power absorbed to the power incident on the cross-section of the simulant. Table 2 shows that this coefficient for the simulant is independent of incident power density. The table also includes the values of the coefficient calculated by measuring the temperature rise in the simulant during the linear transient of the heating period. The excellent agreement supports the validity of these measurement techniques,
4. THEORETICAL CALCULATIONS:

The depth of penetration is 1.0 cm in the skin (Table 1).

In general the values of thickness of skin may vary from 0.2 to 0.6 cm. If the depth of penetration is greater than the thickness of skin, it was shown in the case of total body irradiation that (1) the coefficient of absorption and (2) the heat development in skin depend on the thickness of skin. To learn whether this variability is excessive and unpredictable or not, several calculations were conducted for the case of the forehead of a person. For these calculations a model was assumed in which electromagnetic plane waves pass from air, with normal incidence, through a layer of skin to a semi-infinite medium of bone, as indicated in figure 7.

1) Coefficient of absorption

The coefficient of absorption was calculated as a function of skin thickness d. Denoting dielectric constant and conductivity by ε and κ respectively, and using subscripts for each material (1-air, 2-skin, 3-bone), it can be shown that the coefficient of absorption, s, is given by

\[ s = 1 - |\Gamma|^2 \]

where \( \Gamma \) is the coefficient of reflection which is defined as the ratio of reflected power to the incident power.
\[
\Gamma = \frac{(1-\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*})(\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*}+\sqrt{\epsilon_3^*}) + (1+\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*})(\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*}+\sqrt{\epsilon_3^*})}{(1+\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*})(\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*}+\sqrt{\epsilon_3^*}) + (1-\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*})(\sqrt{\epsilon_2^*}+\sqrt{\epsilon_3^*})} e^{-2k_2d}
\]

with

\[
k_2 = j \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \sqrt{\epsilon_2^*}
\]

= propagation constant in the skin

\[
d = \text{thickness of the skin}
\]

\[
\epsilon_2^* = \epsilon_2 - j \frac{k_2}{\omega \epsilon_1}
\]

= complex dielectric constant of the skin

\[
\epsilon_3^* = \epsilon_3 - j \frac{k_3}{\omega \epsilon_1}
\]

= complex dielectric constant of the bone

\[
\lambda = \text{wavelength in air}
\]

Figure 8 shows the coefficient of absorption as a function of skin thickness \(d\). The coefficient of absorption undergoes damped oscillations for \(d = 0\) to 3 cm and is independent of \(d\) for \(d > 3\) cm. In general, only values of \(d\) from 0.2 up to 0.6 cm are of practical interest. In this range the coefficient of absorption varies between 30 and 42 percent, values fairly close to 45% which pertain if skin were completely absorbing \((d = \infty)\). The threshold sensation of
warmth depends, therefore, somewhat on the thickness of the forehead skin and may vary slightly when different human subjects are used. By assuming a value of 36% an error of at most ±6% is made in the coefficient of absorption.

2) Heat development in skin

The heat developed per unit volume in the skin was calculated as a function of the depth in skin (Figure 7) for different values of skin thickness (d). Following the method given in Ref. 1, heat development per unit volume in skin is given by

\[ H = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} e^{-2\alpha_2 d} \times \left[ \frac{\sin \theta_2}{2\alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{\sin (\theta_2 - 2\beta_2 d)}{2\alpha_2} - 1 \right\} \right] \]

where

\( \varepsilon_0 = \) Incident electric field strength in air

\( k_2 = \alpha_2 + \beta_2 = \) Propagation constant in skin

\( \alpha_2 = \) Attenuation constant in skin

\( \beta_2 = \) Phase constant in skin

\[ p_2 = \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2} - \sqrt{\varepsilon_3}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_2} + \sqrt{\varepsilon_3}} = p_2 e^{i\phi_2} \]

= complex reflection coefficient at the boundary between skin and bone.
The results of these calculations are shown in figure 9, in which the heat development per unit volume is calculated as a function of depth in skin for various values of thickness of skin. The curves were calculated for an incident power density, $P$, of 1 milliwatt/cm$^2$, which corresponds to an electric field strength in air ($\epsilon_0$) of $\sqrt{377P} = \sqrt{0.377}$ volts/cm. As seen from the figure, the heat rate per unit volume depends upon the skin thickness. The data support the conclusions made from the calculations of coefficient of absorption.
5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS:

The forehead skin of four subjects was irradiated with microwaves to determine the threshold sensation of warmth. The forehead of the subjects was placed behind the aperture in the shield. An arrangement of switches and relays which turned on an electric clock and applied the high voltage to the magnetron of the microwave generator simultaneously, was used to record the reaction time of the subjects. The subjects indicated the sensation of warmth by closing another switch which turned off the electric clock and the microwave power simultaneously. Each subject was irradiated five or more times in one experiment and the reaction times were noted. The experiments were conducted two times per day on each subject. The subjects were irradiated with two different levels of microwave power density.

Table 3 shows the average reaction times and their standard deviations for four different subjects at power densities of 56 and 74 milliwatts/cm². It can be seen that the reaction time varies between different subjects. This variation in reaction time may only in part be due to the variation in the thickness of forehead skin of the subjects. The reaction time also varies for each subject as indicated by the standard deviation. Table 4, for example, shows the reaction time of subject No. 1 as determined in different experiments for a power
density of 74 multiwatts/cm². These readings were obtained over a period of two weeks.

Table 3 indicates that there is no proportionality between the power density and the reaction time. Power densities higher than 74 milliwatts/cm² were not available due to the limitation of the output of the microwave generator. Power densities lower than 56 milliwatts/cm² were not used because long exposures were needed and the subjects were becoming impatient and tired.
6. CONCLUSIONS:

Human subjects are irradiated with 10 cm electromagnetic waves to determine whether a person, in the absence of knowledge of the value of power density, can realize the hazard through the sensation of warmth. The forehead area of the subject was used for exposure. The tolerance dosage which was established by H. P. Schwan is 10 milliwatts per square centimeter of total body absorption. Hence, we were reluctant to expose persons to $100 \text{ mw/cm}^2$ particularly on forehead. Even though the number of subjects used is too small, there is some significance in the results obtained.

If a person's forehead is exposed to microwave radiation the time which elapses before he is aware of a sensation of warmth is here called his reaction time. For 10 cm waves, this reaction time was studied for four subjects, with a minimum of 30 exposures for each. It was found theoretically that the reaction time should depend upon the thickness of the irradiated skin. For the subjects tested, the reaction times varied between 10 and 100 seconds for a power density of 75 milliwatts per square cm. It was also found experimentally that the reaction time is not linearly proportional to the reciprocal of the incident power density. The currently accepted safety standard for microwave irradiation says that $75 \text{ mw/cm}^2$ can be safely tolerated for two minutes. The average reaction times measured in these experiments varied between 15 and 73 seconds. However,
these reaction times represent the minimum times for which an aware subject under ideal environmental conditions could detect the slightest perceptible sensation of warmth. Since the reaction times were found not to be linearly proportional to the reciprocal of the incident power density, it can be concluded that the subjective awareness of warmth is not a very good indication of personal hazard. It can, however, be roughly used for that purpose.

Two of the four subjects are northerners and the other two are southerners. The southern subjects have higher thresholds of sensation of warmth than those from northern climates.

The original hope of free field procedures to obtain uniform irradiation over the forehead could not be fulfilled despite considerable efforts. However, the experimental technique used is good, but the same results might have been obtained by pressing the aperture of a waveguide against the necessary part of the body. Because of high power density level at the forehead, it is better to choose some other part of the body. This will also remove (1) fear of brain damage and (2) uncertainty of applied energy due to the thinness of the skin over the skull.
References:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>Skin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric constant</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>16 mmho/cm</td>
<td>20 mmho/cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth of Penetration</td>
<td>1.6 cm</td>
<td>1.0 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption coefficient</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflection coefficient</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency = 2880 Mc/s.
Table 2.

Results of Calibration of Skin Simulant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident Power Density (mw/cm²)</th>
<th>Incident Power (mw)</th>
<th>Temperature Rise</th>
<th>Power Absorbed</th>
<th>Coefficient of Absorption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steady State (°C)</td>
<td>Transient State (°C/minute)</td>
<td>Steady State (mw)</td>
<td>Transient State (mw)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>714</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>1428</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>1090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>2857</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.

Reaction times of subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Incident Power density ( mw/cm^2 )</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>standard deviation sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td>No response up to 180 sec.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4.

Reaction times of subject No. 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Number</th>
<th>Number of runs</th>
<th>Reaction Time</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 1  SKIN SIMULANT POSITIONED IN APERTURE.
The numbers represent the relative values of power density.

FIG. 2  SKIN SIMULANT SHOWING POSITION OF THERMOCOUPLES.
Fig. 3
TEMPERATURE RISE AT THE CENTER OF THE CHAMBER

TRANSIENT PERIOD

TIME IN MINUTES

RELATIVE TEMPERATURE
Heat dissipation constant 200 mW/°C

FIG. 5 HEATING OF SKIN SIMULANT BY DIRECT CURRENT.
FIG. 6  HEATING OF SKIN SIMULANT BY MICROWAVE ENERGY.
**FIG. 7** MODEl OF FOREHEAD OF A PERSON.

\[ x = \text{depth in skin} \]
\[ d = \text{thickness of skin layer} \]
\[ E_0 = \text{incident electric field strength in air} \]
**Figure 8**

- **Skin**
  - Dielectric Const. 42
  - Conductivity (mho/cm): 20
- **Bone**
  - Frequency: 2680 Mc
  - Depth of penetration in Skin = 0.87 cm
  - Wavelength in Skin = 1.6 cm

**Coefficient of Absorption (%)**

**Skin Thickness** (in cm)

- 2
- 5
- 8
Dielectric Constant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Bone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conductivity (mmho/cm)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Bone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Frequency = 2000 mc/s

Depth of penetration in skin = 0.67 cm

Wavelengths in skin = 1.6 cm

Thickness of skin = d cm

Incident electric field strength in air \( (E_0) = \sqrt{0.377} \)

FIG. 9 HEAT DEVELOPMENT IN SKIN
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A central forehead area of 4 subjects was exposed to free-field, 10 cm microwave irradiation and the reaction time to onset of warmth sensation measured. Subjective awareness of warmth was found to be only a rough indication of personal hazard, based upon the currently accepted safety standard of 75 mw/cm² for 2 min.
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